

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/388,935	09/02/1999	TADAMITSU MIYAWAKI	104144	4667
25944	7590 05/02/2003			
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 19 ALEXANDE	9928 RIA, VA 22320		HAYES, JOHN W	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>y</i> .						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/388,935	MIYAWAKI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John W Hayes	3621			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 F	Februar <u>y 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	·	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4-8 and 11-15 is/are pending in the	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8 and 11-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
	ion Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 August 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
11)□	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and T	rademark Office					

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of Claims

1. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended in the amendment filed 04 February 2003. Claims 2-3 and 9-10 have been previously canceled. Thus, claims 1, 4-8 and 11-15 remain pending and are again presented for examination.

## **Drawings**

2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 13 August 2002. These drawings are approved by the Draftsperson.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-8, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillon, U.S. Patent No. 5,727,065 in view of Bowman et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,999,623 and Downs et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,226,618 B1.

Art Unit: 3621

As per <u>Claim 1</u>, Dillon discloses a contents distribution method for distributing digitized contents to plural users comprising:

- encrypting and distributing contents to plural users (Col. 1 line 65-Col. 2 line 7; Col. 6, lines 57-62),
- selecting by a user at least one of the encrypted content from a catalog (Col. 4, lines 5-20)
- decoding the encrypted contents and utilizing thereof by a user (Col. 2, lines 10-15; Col. 4, lines 12-18; Col. 6, lines 60-67; Col. 9 line 65-Col. 10 line 6), and
- executing accounting to the user according to said utilized contents (Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col.
  5, lines 43-50; Col. 6, lines 19-24; Col. 7, lines 26-38; Col. 8, lines 28-43).

Dillon, however, fails to explicitly disclose that the encrypted contents are first distributed to users and wherein the users can then select at least one of the encrypted content from the distributed contents. Dillon discloses that a catalog of available contents is distributed to the plural users and further wherein the users can then select the content that they wish to receive. However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Dillon and distribute the available contents to the user and further allow the user to select the contents he/she is interested in after reception of the contents. Dillon teaches that this method is not necessary since it would waste resources by requiring the user to receive content not of interest. The motivation would be to allow the user to determine which content he/she wishes to receive and charging the user for only the content that he/she receives without wasting resources by requiring the user to receive content not of interest (Col. 11 line 65-Col. 12 line 5).

Dillon further fails to explicitly disclose providing decoding information accompanying encrypted contents, each decoding information specific to a user in the plural users. Bowman et al disclose a broadcast data access communication system in which users receive encrypted information such as video and data and use decryption information specific to each user (Col. 5, lines 9-46; Col. 7, lines 20-27; Col. 18, lines 40-57; Col. 23, lines 10-29) to decode and gain access to particular blocks of information within a bigger set of encrypted information and wherein the decoding information accompanies the encrypted contents (Figures 1, 1a and 1b; Col. 4, lines 54-67). It would have been

Art Unit: 3621

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Dillon and include the ability to encode/decode the contents based on each individual user as taught by Bowman et al. Bowman et al provides motivation by indicating that this method would prevent non-authorized receiver stations from obtaining access to broadcast information and for enabling only authorized receiver stations to have access to the broadcast information (Col. 1, lines 45-55)

Dillon further discloses a contents distribution method including summary information showing a summary of the available contents (Col. 4, lines 5-7 and 53-60; Col. 6, lines 12-24 and 35-41), however, fails to specifically disclose that the summary information is attached to the encrypted contents and displayed only if decoding information determines which encrypted contents can be utilized by the user. Dillon teaches that the summary data is transmitted separately from the content data and in unencrypted form. Bowman et al further teaches a system wherein decoding information determines which encrypted contents can be utilized by the user, however, also fails to disclose summary information. Downs et al disclose an electronic content delivery system for providing digital content in secure containers to a plurality of users and further teach that summary information (Col. 9, lines 21-32) is included in the encrypted contents container (Col. 38 line 21-Col. 39 line 20) and wherein the summary information is not displayed unless it has been decrypted using the decryption information (Col. 73, lines 12-40; Col. 74, lines 25-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Dillon and Bowman et al and include the summary information in encrypted form along with the encrypted content information in a secure container and only displaying this information upon decryption of the secure container in view of the teachings of Downs et al. Downs et al provides motivation for encrypting the summary information so that it can be protected in the case where the content provider wants to charge a fee for the summary information (Col. 73, lines 33-40).

As per <u>Claims 4 and 15</u>, Dillon fails to disclose wherein the decoding is executed by a decoding key generated based upon first decoding information attached to the encrypted contents and second decoding information which is provided to the user. Bowman et al disclose the generation of a decoding key based upon decoding information attached to the encrypted contents (Figure 1a; Table 4; Col. 21,

Art Unit: 3621

lines 23-61). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Dillon and include the ability to encode/decode the contents based on decoding information specific to each individual user as taught by Bowman et al. Bowman et al provides motivation by indicating that this method would prevent non-authorized receiver stations from obtaining access to broadcast information and for enabling only authorized receiver stations to have access to the broadcast information (Col. 1, lines 45-55)

As per <u>Claim 5</u>, Dillon further discloses wherein the contents mean a document displayed in a page unit (Col. 1, lines 55-65) and wherein the accounting is executed for the page unit (Col. 4, lines 16-20; Col. 7, lines 26-30).

As per <u>Claim 6</u>, Dillon further discloses wherein the distribution is executed by broadcast (Figure 1; Col. 3, lines 40-45).

As per <u>Claim 7</u>, Dillon discloses a contents distribution system that distributes digitized contents to plural users comprising:

- a distribution device that distributes encrypted contents (Figure 1; Col. 4, lines 1-20),
- a user terminal that receives encrypted contents distributed by the distribution device (Figure 1; Col. 4, lines 12-18; Col. 6, lines 60-67; Col. 9 line 65-Col. 10 line 6), selects at least one encrypted content from a catalog (Col. 4, lines 5-20) and generates accounting information according to the utilization of the selected encrypted content (Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col. 5, lines 43-50; Col. 6, lines 19-24; Col. 7, lines 26-38; Col. 8, lines 28-43), and
- a central station that collects and totalizes accounting information generated by the user terminal (Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col. 7, lines 26-38; Col. 8, lines 28-43).

Dillon, however, fails to explicitly disclose that the encrypted contents are first distributed to users and wherein the users can then select at least one of the encrypted content from the distributed contents.

Dillon discloses that a catalog of available contents is distributed to the plural users and further wherein

Art Unit: 3621

the users can then select the content that they wish to receive. However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Dillon and distribute the available contents to the user and further allow the user to select the contents he/she is interested in after reception of the contents. Dillon teaches that this method is not necessary since it would waste resources by requiring the user to receive content not of interest. The motivation would be to allow the user to determine which content he/she wishes to receive and charging the user for only the content that he/she receives without wasting resources by requiring the user to receive content not of interest (Col. 11 line 65-Col. 12 line 5).

Dillon further fails to explicitly disclose providing decoding information accompanying encrypted contents, each decoding information specific to a user in the plural users. Bowman et al disclose a broadcast data access communication system in which users receive encrypted information such as video and data and use decryption information specific to each user (Col. 5, lines 9-46; Col. 7, lines 20-27; Col. 18, lines 40-57; Col. 23, lines 10-29) to decode and gain access to particular blocks of information within a bigger set of encrypted information and wherein the decoding information accompanies the encrypted contents (Figures 1, 1a and 1b; Col. 4, lines 54-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Dillon and include the ability to encode/decode the contents based on each individual user as taught by Bowman et al. Bowman et al provides motivation by indicating that this method would prevent non-authorized receiver stations from obtaining access to broadcast information and for enabling only authorized receiver stations to have access to the broadcast information (Col. 1, lines 45-55)

Dillon further discloses a contents distribution method including summary information showing a summary of the available contents (Col. 4, lines 5-7 and 53-60; Col. 6, lines 12-24 and 35-41), however, fails to specifically disclose that the summary information is attached to the encrypted contents and displayed only if decoding information determines which encrypted contents can be utilized by the user. Dillon teaches that the summary data is transmitted separately from the content data and in unencrypted form. Bowman et al further teaches a system wherein decoding information determines which encrypted contents can be utilized by the user, however, also fails to disclose summary information. Downs et al

Art Unit: 3621

disclose an electronic content delivery system for providing digital content in secure containers to a plurality of users and further teach that summary information (Col. 9, lines 21-32) is included in the encrypted contents container (Col. 38 line 21-Col. 39 line 20) and wherein the summary information is not displayed unless it has been decrypted using the decryption information (Col. 73, lines 12-40; Col. 74, lines 25-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Dillon and Bowman et al and include the summary information in encrypted form along with the encrypted content information in a secure container and only displaying this information upon decryption of the secure container in view of the teachings of Downs et al. Downs et al provides motivation for encrypting the summary information so that it can be protected in the case where the content provider wants to charge a fee for the summary information (Col. 73, lines 33-40).

As per Claim 8, Dillon discloses a contents distribution method including summary information showing a list and a summary of the available contents (Col. 4, lines 5-7 and 53-60; Col. 6, lines 12-24 and 35-41), however, Dillon fails to specifically disclose that the list and summary information is attached to the encrypted contents. Dillon teaches that the list and summary data is transmitted separately from the content data. Downs et al disclose an electronic content delivery system for providing digital content in secure containers to a plurality of users and further teach that list and summary information (Col. 9, lines 21-32) is included in the encrypted contents container (Col. 38 line 21-Col. 39 line 20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Dillon and include list and summary data attached to the encrypted content data in view of the teachings of Downs et al. One would have been motivated to include summary data with the content so that the user could refer to the list and summary data before purchasing the content to decide whether or not he/she desires the content. Dillon provides motivation by indicating that summary data is included such as a description of the content which would be sufficient to allow a user to determine whether he/she desires the document (Col. 6, lines 17-20).

Art Unit: 3621

As per <u>Claim 11</u>, Dillon further discloses wherein the distribution is executed by broadcast (Figure 1; Col. 3, lines 40-45).

6. Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillon, U.S. Patent No. 5,727,065, Bowman et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,999,623 and Downs et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,226,618 B1 as applied above and in further view of Stefik et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,634,012.

As per <u>Claims 12 and 13</u>, Dillon discloses a contents distribution system wherein the user terminal comprises:

- a data sink that receives encrypted contents distributed from the distribution device (Col. 2, lines 10-15; Col. 6, lines 60-65),
- a data output part that decodes the encrypted contents (Col. 2, lines 10-15; Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col. 6 line 64-Col. 7 line 5) and generates accounting information according to the quantity of utilized decoded contents (Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col. 5, lines 43-50; Col. 6, lines 19-24; Col. 7, lines 26-38; Col. 8, lines 28-43)

Dillon, Bowman et al and Downs et al fail to specifically disclose a printer that prints the contents. Stefik et al disclose a system for controlling the distribution and use of digital information and teach wherein a printer is used to print a certain number of copies of the decoded information (Col. 38, lines 21-62) and performs closing transaction steps including initiating a charging transaction based upon the quantity of the utilized contents (Col. 33, lines 48-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Dillon, Bowman et al and Downs et al and incorporate the ability to not only display the decoded content, but also print the decoded content and charge a fee for printing of the document as taught by Stefik et al. The motivation would be to provide the convenience to the user of having the ability to render the digital content by using a printer so that it could be carried in hardcopy form. It also would provide a benefit to the content provider by allowing the content provider to charge a fee for printing the content as taught by Stefik et al.

Art Unit: 3621

As per <u>Claim 14</u>, Dillon discloses a contents distribution system wherein the user terminal comprises:

- a data sink that receives encrypted contents distributed from the distribution device (Col. 2, lines 10-15; Col. 6, lines 60-65),
- a display that decodes the encrypted contents and displays the contents (Col. 1, lines 60-65; Col. 2, lines 10-15; Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col. 6 line 64-Col. 7 line 5) and generates accounting information according to the quantity of utilized decoded contents (Col. 4, lines 15-20; Col. 5, lines 43-50; Col. 6, lines 19-24; Col. 7, lines 26-38; Col. 8, lines 28-43)

Dillon also discloses that the content includes text, software, images and full-motion video, however, Dillon, Bowman et al and Downs et al fail to specifically disclose displaying the contents and generating accounting information specifically according to the number of pages included in the displayed contents. Stefik et al disclose a system for controlling the distribution and use of digital information and teach wherein the user device is used to display the digital contents such as rendering it for reading (Col. 37, lines 60-67) and performs closing transaction steps including initiating a charging transaction based upon the quantity of the utilized contents (Col. 38, lines 19-21; Col. 33, lines 48-59). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method of Dillon, Bowman et al and Downs et al and incorporate the ability to not only display the decoded content, but also print the decoded content and charge a fee for displaying or printing of the document based upon the number of pages in the content as taught by Stefik et al. The motivation would be to permit the user to display the received content thereby making it useful. It also would provide a benefit to the content provider by allowing the content provider to charge a fee for displaying the content as taught by Stefik et al.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3621

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Mason discloses a method of encrypting broadcast television signals and teaches wherein each customer has a unique key in order to decrypt the broadcast signal and provide different entitlements to each customer
- Hirose discloses a scrambling method for data broadcasting and teaches encrypting each type of news
  data with a separate key so that each user can be assigned different access to the information based on
  which keys they posses.
- Richards discloses a method for providing a hierarchical key system for restricted access television systems and teaches wherein each customer can be granted access to certain programs in the broadcast based upon which key they posses.
- The prior art <u>previously</u> made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Steinberg et al disclose a software fingerprinting and branding method wherein the content is decoded using key information which is only known by the user and wherein identification of the user is embedded in the encoding program. The user is not given access unless the user provides the key along with identification data.

Art Unit: 3621

- Yuval et al disclose a method for controlling unauthorized access to information distributed to users and teach that the information is decoded using keys that are based upon user information such as name, credit card number, etc.
- Chou et al disclose a method of software distribution protection using a key that relies upon a unique factor such as a serial number or profile or fingerprint of the users computer.
- Saito discloses a secure data broadcasting system wherein encrypted content is broadcast to users
   that decode the information
- Kazmierczak et al disclose a cryptographic system for effecting metered purchases of encrypted data for a local encrypted database
- Peterson, Jr. discloses a system for distribution of secured content wherein the user decrypts the content and is available for viewing during a certain timeframe
- Ginter et al disclose a system and method for secure transaction management wherein content is distributed to users and assigned certain rights for accessing the data
- Choy discloses the distribution of content to users wherein a protection specification including information for controlling the use of the content is attached to the content and transported together
- Kocher et al disclose a secure cryptographic rights unit for cryptographically regulating access to digital content distributed over a network
- WO 90/02382 discloses an information distribution system that provides encrypted information to a
  user that corresponds to criteria individually selected by the user and then charges the user only for the
  selected information provided
- Thyfault, Mary E., "Data From Above", discloses a satellite service that broadcasts encrypted information to users and are charged for the amount of information downloaded.

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/388,935

Art Unit: 3621

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Hayes whose telephone number is (703)306-5447. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Trammell, can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703)305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-5531 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th floor receptionist.</sup>

John W. Hayes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3621

April 29, 2003